Contract of the Contract of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/874,315	NOBUKAWA, HISASHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1764
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Robert Mukai.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 24 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Fes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 ofver Harris		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Harris USP 1, 932, 405		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examinar/SDE Signature) (An	nlicont/Annlicont/a Donnes out	oti na Cionadura (fi anno anioda)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicativApplicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed figure 14 and how it could read on claim 1, without positive recitation that the upper tank is open to the atmospher so that the upper tank is subjected to evaporation by the sun, amendment to delete "can be" to --is-- authorized by examiner's amendment...